P. F. 31 of 97

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1897-1898

191 .

(L. R.)

Later references.

File No. 31 of 97

Serial Nos. 1—6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—6

Major ~~Part~~ B for April 1901

SUBJECT.

Review appeal of Venkata-Krishnaiah of Belur ~~Siggaon~~ Hosadurg Sub Taluk regarding his Shanbhogi and patali claims.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

p 35

(4) Council Case.

The resp. show that the petrs & C-petrs are the joint hakdars of the two pattahs & the patch & that on petrs' allegg mayors an equitable division was contemplated. Thus the 2nd Comm[r] has effected but petrs object & it. ~~this~~ He evidently prefers joint hakdarship with its correlative the right of survivorship to the enjoyment of a determinate ½ share. (The pattahs of the pattahs & the patch are not ~~one~~ seven) Petrs pray for stay of execution pending appeal to 2nd Commiss[r]

M 10/11/97

M.O. 30 Dec.
12.11.97.

Office "Lands" under the superintendence of Chenguttah Mudaliar

AK 30.12.97.

There can be no more officials than one for each Taluk, & also another official for temporary for the Darbhi. The first two orders seem correct. But both these terms Darbhi & the subordinate members are to be replaced as 'Chowkidars' so that they may perform their duties. This appears to be the correct thing to do & we have to [illegible] call for record sheets & usual cards

Reed
25/12/97

Rec'd Hubli
HC
30/12/97

Reference
MK 7-10-97.

To General Records

Please arrange case No 38
17/23 - 6-97 with the enclosed file

HmR
7/10/97

Please copy order: issue
Cy
[illegible]

RB 9/10/97

Subsidiaries
(1) Two kr. Linen affixed
(2) Rec'd Petr's Pleader's fee L.R. 107-97
6/3-8-97
(3) Rec'd Share of appellate Court-fee
put up.

MK
11/10/97

I wait the Records
My 20/10/97

File legal correspondence and papers with Petition No 1038 of 1897
and send on 10/1/98

Cy
[illegible]

RB 20/10/97

File is put up.

HmR
21/10/97

Subsidiaries after Petn No 1038-1897

This file above referred to is put up with petn No 1038 Land Revenue Appeal which was received from the Dy Collr to hold the pass in abeyance pending disposal of this appeal.

MK
22-10-97

1) Stamp put
2) Decree
3) Appeal against RB Comr's order rejecting Sanehalli Zilla Shanbogs & Bapu patils as the name of Duppa & Anvala Shanbogs in the name of Petrs

(13)

189 (15)

No. 947 of 97-98

Name of Petitioner Venkatrishnaiah residing at

Village Bagur

Sub-Taluk Hosdoorg

District Chitaldroog

Date of Decree 21-9-97

Date of Receipt 22-9-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 5 (together with the Record of the Case)

ABSTRACT.

Petr Respondents
(1) Duggappiah
(2) Shantimath son of (1)

On 3-8-97 the Dy Collector passed the following order (No 31) in favour of the Respondents about the Shanbhoghie of Sanehalli Jirkan and Anvala and the Patelli of Bagoor:-

(To the Dy Collector of Hosdoorg)

In accordance with the above English Order, it is settled that the Shanbhoghies of Sanehalli and Anvala Jirkas

Tirka stood go to Duggappiah
and Venkatakrishnaiah respectively.
Let the Sanchalli Tirka Shanbhokee
of Duggappiah be transferred
to his son Shamanna — a boy
of 7 years. Let the patell
of Bagoor, registered in the name
of Duggappiah, be looked after by
him while Venkatakrishnaiah
assumes charge of the Shan-
bhokee of Arivala-Tirka.
Let Bheemanna act as hitherto
for Shamanna until he
attains his majority."

—

Now the Petr appeals against
the above order and states
that he and the 1st Respondent
have been from a long time undivided
cousins and were recognized as such
in the Govt Pro: No 887, of 17/23 - 6 - '87;
that, under the circumstances
the transfer of Shanbhokee
of Sanchalli-Tirka to the name of
the son of the 1st Respondent is
wrong and inconvenient;
and that the omission of the name of the Petr
in the patell-register of Bagoor
is also unjust.

Prays that the order of
transfer

S

transfer of Registry of the Shamblocks of Sanehalli-Gilka to the name of the 2nd respondent may be cancelled;

that the name of the Petr may be ordered to be retained in the Patell Register of Bagevr, as hakdar to the same;

that an order may be passed that the Petr and the 1st respondent may do the duties of Patel of Bagevr by turns, the Govt fixing the term of each turn; and that, if this should be inconvenient, an order may be passed that the same may be looked after by a gumasta, as heretofore, for the Petr and the 1st respondent.

Enclosures

1. Facts of the case.
2. Order appealed against

Copy

3 Govt. Memo. No. 887, dt. 17/23-6-'87

4. Deposition of Pet. before the Addl. Collector.

5. — do: — dt. 1st Sept. —

6/10/97

Reference
RK 20-10-97

849 affn sent to A.C. on 11-10-97.

7

189 (16)

RK
20/10/97

Submitted with petition No 849
RK
22-10-97

No. 998

Name of Petitioner Venkatakrishnaiah Shanbhag of Hirale

Village ~~and~~ Pilka Bagoor

Taluk Hosdurga (Sub)

District Chitaldrug

Date of Arzi 12-10-97

Date of Receipt 20-10-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

L.R. 107-97-2

ABSTRACT.

With reference to his appeal petition to grant against the order of the Dy Comr, regarding the petr's claim to the Patelki of Bagoor well, submits ~~that~~ for perusal copy of notice dated 29-9-97 to the petr by the Asstt Comr in pursuance of order dtd 100 dated 16-9-97 of the Dy. Comr which is as follows.

On the submission of the records relating to your refusal to take charge of the Shanbhagi of Hirale pilka from the Executive Engineer, Bhimasamudra order has been issued by the Dy Comr

with No. 100 dated 16.9.97 to
the effect that you fail to
to take charge of the Shanbhogi
of Arivalefulca before the
end of October 1897, you will
be removed from the office.
This notice is that
you should refuse to take
charge of the Shanbhogi duties
simply because the case
regarding your claim to the
Paleti of the same village
was not favourably dis-
posed of; you must take
charge of the Shanbhogi work
and may take steps to take
proper suits for the recovery
of the Paleti of your title; you
are informed accordingly".

Petr. prays for issue
of orders to keep the operation
of the above order in abeyance
pending disposal of his
Appeal petition

H
20/10/97

No=998

16-10-97

By post
16.10.97

Recd. 20.10.97

BK

MYSORE ... PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG:D No 998
DATE 20-10-97

[illegible]

ચિત્રકામ પેન્સિલ

ચિત્રકામ પેન્સિલ

ચિત્રકામ પેન્સિલ

12

Del 731-97 12 (874) (47)

L.R. 107-92-3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

A.S. 40 97-98

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

No. 47 dated 21-7-97

received at the Dewan's Office from [illegible]

is forwarded, together with its four enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

BANGALORE, ~~Joint~~ Chief Secretary.

6 —1— 1898.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

13

Gen. Serial No. 1607

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 7139
Pet. 536
APR
DEWAN'S OFFICE

(187)

Nothing
My 5/4/98

L.R. 107-97-4

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chittagong Division

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Bengal
General and Revenue
Department

Dated 27th March 1898

Despatched 29th March 1898

Received

Enclosures No. Five with a file of Vernacular Papers

General No. 897.

No. 847.

In reply to

SUBJECT

Submitting Executive proceedings connected with the appeal of Nilmadhab Bhattacharjee in re the Patni of Kazir in the Hathazari Sub-division together with a report on the face of the case

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Return 4/4/98

Rd 4/4

Ref 31 of 97 relating to the subject is putting

4/4/98 Sub

5/4/98 MG

No: 897.
Encl: 5 copies & file of papers sent herewith.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Cuddapah District.
Dated 24th March 1898

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Madras
General and Revenue Department

Sir

With reference to your official memorandum No: Pet 731-97 dated 4th January 1898 calling for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the appeal of Venkata Krishnaiya in re the Patéli of Kasba Bayur in the Madanapalle Sub-division, together with a report on the facts of the case, I have the honour to report as follows:-

2. Appellant Venkata Krishnaiya and the first Respondent Duggaiah are cousins. The subject matter of dispute

dispute between the parties which came up before Government in 1880 was the Sambhogi of the two villages Ariyala and Vanéhalli and the Patéli of Bagur Venkat Krishnaiya was then a minor.

Jan. Wo 134 of 1881

3. In proceedings Comp. No. X 88 dated 23rd June 1881 the Government observed that "the most equitable arrangement is to remove Krishnappa from the Sambhogship of the three villages of Ariyala since he has no manner of right to the office, to recognize Duggappah and minor Venkat Krishnaiya as joint Patélars of the Sambhogship of the seven villages of Ariyala and Vanéhalli Peths as well as of the Patéli of Bagur and during the minority of Venkat Krishnaiya to recognize Duggappah as the officiating Sambhogi of the seven villages in question and Venkatacharlu as said Gumasta of Bagur

Bague an account of both Duggappah and Venkatkrishnaiya and to leave it to the Coheirs to divide the effects belonging jointly to them in any way they could agree. The Government then accordingly ordered that the above arrangement should be given effect to.

6. Appellant Venkatkrishnia presented a petition to this Court on the 6th March 1869 praying that in virtue of the above Government proceedings he might be permitted to do the Sanbhogi duties of one of the two pirivas as he had been attached to majority. Thereupon summoned the parties and heard them in person. They agreed that the Arivala Pirka might go to Venkatkrishnaiya and Sanchalli Pirka to Duggappaiya. The Cattle attached to the Sanbhogi of Sanchalli Pirka to be registered

in the name of his minor son Shamanna and a Gumasta appointed in his behalf till he attained majority. I granted these requests.

5 But Venkat Krishnaiya wanted also that he should be allowed to do the Patell duties of Bagur by turns. Bagur is an important village. Venkat Krishnaiya had but recently attained his majority. The other Shekdar Dugganaiya happened to be employed as the Sarbhoji of the Tirka assigned to him, had been, as already stated, Registered in his son's name. I therefore appointed Dugganaiya as the sole Patell of Bagur. No other arrangement was possible under the circumstances of the case.

6. The entire records connected with

with the Case together with the
affidavit relative and the enclosures
are here with submitted

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,
Massachusetts
Deputy Commissioner

Zero Rs. Stamp affixed - 22-9-97 RM





19

Presented in person by Mr. Brunel 22/9/97

22/9/97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE. PETITION DEPARTMENT. REGD. NO. 849R DATE 22-9-97

Before the Government of Mysore

Revenue Department Appeal

Case No. of 97-98

Appellant: Venkatarattinam

Son of Raja Rattinam

residing in the village of Bajpur

Hoveda Hobli Taluk of the

Chitradurga District.

Respondent: 1. The Assistant

Son of Shamanna residing

in the Village of Bajpur.

2. Shamanna

aged about 40 years, a minor

by his father and next friend

the 1st Respondent. (His

Respondent is made in the

his father, the 1st Respondent)

The Petitioner above named
was duly sworn & saith that
he has read the Petition
& the Order of the Deputy Governor
& the Petitioner & the
Petitioner's Petition & is
a certified Copy & true
transcript out of the
Petition & Order referred to in
this Affidavit & that he
is a Stranger to the
Petitioner & to the Matter
in Question.

The Grounds of this Appeal are the
following viz.

I. That the said Petitioner
is Executor
Contrary to Law
Petitioner

II. That the Office of Marshalsea
of Animals and Dead Bodies
in the Borough is a Sub-Place
The office of Bailiff of the Liberty
Bayard & the Sub-Bailiff
Liberty by the Family of the Porters
and the Governor have power
to appoint in case that the
Appellant & Respondent are
Negatived & joint Makers
of the said Office of Marshalsea
and Bailiff

III. That the Order of the
Deputy

2

Debenture issued by the Registry of
the Province of the Registry of
Saint John for the
Receipt of the Receiver General
Name of his Office and Signature
a Day of Every Year and that the
Duties of the said Office should be
looked after by a Person Lawfully
the Said Receiver General Name
and that the Said Receiver may
look after the Duties of the Office
of Clerk of Bays on Principle
and Prejudicial to the Interest
of the Appellant

II The Appellant has Recovered
Law given the Share of Trial
Circle - of the Said Receiver No I,
Cleared to the Duties of the Office
of Chancellor of Saint John Circle,
That each of the Said will be
Paid the Duties of the Chancellor
of a Trial No Person Law
Compelled to look after the Duties
of the Office of Clerk of Bays on
may be Appointed by the Said for
In the Said Case the Appellant
and Respondent may Pay
the Costs they may Receive
after Regard to the Circumstance
Where each the Costs of the

Not Set

Executive Committee, the Respondent
No. I will not any way the Petitioner
Portfolio Square, Respondent
Said called Three Hundred Pounds
the Petitioner Abelard.

II. The Abelard agreed
that he and the Respondent
may be permitted to Cohabit
the Rubies of the Office of Portfolio
Square by Lease.

III. The Office of the Order of the
Petitioner Lease is that the
name of the Abelard is removed
from the Register of Portfolio Square
Villas and the name of the Mr
Respondent alone is to be inserted
But the Cancel Deed and
the Mr Respondent shall pay
Rent in the Portfolio in 1887 before
the Execution and both the
Parties respectively Recognized
by Executors on file Hundred
and the Bail bond both the
Shareholder and Portfolio Stood
in the Joint Names of the Abelard
and the Mr Respondent and
no Necessity now Rent for
the Execution of Deed by
Said Petitioner to the said
Respondent Name from Mr
of the Mr Respondent.

3/.

Order.

23

The Appellant therefore prays that the Registry of the Office of Chancellor of Exchequer be cancelled, & that the name of the Respondent, & that of the 2nd Respondent may be cancelled;

That the name of the Appellant also may be ordered to be kept on the Registry of Postal Bayonet Villages as before as Holder;

That an Order may be duly issued that the Appellant and Respondent may go the public of the Office of Postal Bayonet, by leave the Government for the four years and if the is found in any, then the Appellant pays to the Order may be made that the Case may be heard after by a Counsel duly appointed for the Appellant and Respondent

[illegible]

A memo of facts of the case is also hereto annexed and the following certified copies referred to therein are also produced herewith :—

A. Order of the Deputy Commissioner of Chittagong District dated 3-8-1897 appealed against.

B. Petition of Appeal No. 87 dated 17/23-8-1897.

C. Petition of the Appellant before the Deputy Commissioner of the Chittagong District dated 2-8-1897.

D. Petition of the Respondent before the Deputy Commissioner of the Chittagong District dated 2-8-1897

No 84 of 1897

In the Court of
Appeal
No 2

4

25

Before the Commissioner of Revenue appeal case No.

of 1897-98.

Appellant- Vee Kalavai Veerale

Respondent- 1. Deputy

2. Chairman

Vizianagram Municipality

Nature of case

1. The appellant and Respondent are residents of Vizianagram

2. The facts of the case briefly stated are as follows

The office of Chairman of Municipality viz Anirala - 2 Mallepalle and 3 Chittivalasa

forming the Anirala Taluka

and also 1. Narasimhalli 2 Bandi-

-kotta, 3 Rangasamudram and

4 Chinnabarra forming the

Narasimhalli Taluka are located

in the Agency also portions

the office of Police Station-

Village

of the

3. The Court in 1857 in the
2nd Proceeding required the
Appellant and the Respondents
as Joint Holders of Blackacre
and Portsea of the Will and
Above Price the Appellant
a Minor, the Court required
the Public Trustee as Executor
Blackacre of the Testatrix and
Above for the Respondents
Respectively of the Will
as Portsea Executor
Bajor one Account of
Sales and Public Trustee
Blackacre Portsmith Leasehold
Clear of the Court Order
of 1857 herein to be paid
accordingly.

4. The Accountant in the
Above Order, the Registrar
the Office of Blackacre
and Portsea Queen Street Hood
in the Joint Names of
Appellant and Respondents
5. The Appellant is now aged
20 years and he ought in
Mortgage for one of the
Blackacre Bindon Leasey
Green and to be Clerk

6. The Collector of Revenue may take
by the Officer's Receipt as in 2 & 97.
The Collector shall then proceed
the 2 Tuesdays of March next, there
to receive the Affidavit of
Voters and their Names may be
proved for Voting after the Poll
by Lease, Writ, Collector, Register
and the Register; and
a certified Copy of the Register
is herein the Proceedings
7. The Register shall, also
that Day before the
Officer's Receipt Lists. That
may be sent for Collection
Voting after Receipt of the Office
of Commissioners for Ka
He noted the Right by Street Value
Certificate made in the Name of
Voters, the Collector, a
Copy of Oath and that the Voting
Affairs and Trustees may be
Received from and Blessing made
be also declared that the Business
united to Book after the Trustees
Poll of Majority Votes
A certified Copy of the Proceedings
is herein the Proceedings
mentioned.
8. The Officer's Receipt
ordered that the Collector
shall get Assistance for the
Election Returns to the

(20) 8
29

Proceedings of the Court of Mysore

L.R. 107-97-5

Read:-

(1) Govt Resp Ito (camp) 5873, 17-6-1887 directing that Dugganna of Bagur Hanabarga Sub. Taluk, and his cousin Venkatakrishnaiya should be recognised as joint holders to the Shanbhogi of Aravalli and Sanaballi Fields as well as to the Patel of Bagur, and that it should be left to the cousins to divide the fields belonging jointly to them in any way they might consider proper.

(2) Petition of appeal Ito $\frac{849}{48}$ of 1897-98, from the said Venkatakrishnaiya against the order of the Dy Comm of Chitaldrug directing, at the request of Duggappa, the transfer to the name of his minor son Channaveera (2d Respt) of the registry of the Shanbhogi of Sanaballi fields which

according to the agreement
of the parties, had gone to 3D
the share of Duggappa
and also appointing Duggappa
as Patel of Bagur
3. Letter No 897/847 of 24th
March 1898 from the
Dy Commr Chitaldrug Dist
reporting that Venkatlakshmia
requested that he should
be allowed to do the Patel
duties of Bagur by turns
with Duggappa in addition
to the Shanbhag's duties of
his Inam, that he being
illeterate and Bagur
being an important village,
the other had been Duggappa
who happened to be un-
employed, as the Shanbhogs
of his Inam had been
transferred to his son's
name, was appointed
as the sole Patel of Bagur,
and that no other arrange
ment was feasible
under the circumstances

8578 P.
Q# 31/97 $25/4/01

(1595)

of the case.

31 Order

Under all the circumstances of the case, the existing arrangement re the Patli of Bagwa, ~~must~~ be disturbed, unless the parties concerned should come to a more satisfactory arrangement which is in accordance with the rules and which is approved by the Commissioner. Parties were recognized as patli owners by a Patta Kabuliyat in Tor Pedo (camp) 8877 dt 4-7-6-1897

may then ordered per Rev. Sec. (Camp) No. 1595 dt 17th June 1897, the ryot

Colonial Resolution

to Secretary

Draft
20/4/01.

will therefore continue in the same capacity ~~in the Patta~~ to ~~Court of the Assistant Collector~~ Collector for the present.

Passed Assistant Collector's Court no. 1595 dated 20/4/01

Seal to 2nd Court for approval

The necessary portion has been added to make the order quite clear.

20/4/01

21/4/01

(19) 3 ಕ್ಲರ್ಕ್-ಕೆಸ್-ಕ್ಲರ್ಕ್ 4 ಕೆ. 679

25-4-1901

I. R. 107-97-9

ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕರಾರು ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಆದೇಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಂದರೆ 1887 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಭೂಕಂದಾಯ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 38 ರಿಂದ 41 ಮತ್ತು 47 ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕರಾರು ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಆದೇಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಂದರೆ 1887 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಭೂಕಂದಾಯ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 38 ರಿಂದ 41 ಮತ್ತು 47 ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಷಿ-ಕಂದಾಯ-ಅರಣ್ಯ. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪಶುಸಂಗೋಪನೆ

ಕಾಯ್ದೆ ೧೯೬೩ರ ಕಲಂ ೪ರ ಉಪಕಲಂ (೧)

ರಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರ

ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು

ಜಾನುವಾರುಗಳ ಸಂತೆಗಳೆಂದು ಘೋಷಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಂತೆ ಸ್ಥಳ ದಿನಗಳು ಸಂತೆ ಸ್ಥಳದ ಸೀಮೆ

ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ಒಳಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಜಾನುವಾರು

ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಅಥವಾ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು

ಮಾ. ಪ್ರ. ಕಾ ಅಥವಾ ಪಶುವೈದ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ

ಅಧಿಕೃತವಾದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಹೊರತು

ಪಡಿಸಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಅಥವಾ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವಿಕೆ

21-9-1897 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 849 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ - ಸಂಪಾದಕ

ಮೈ.ಪ್ರ ಅಥವಾ ಪಶುವೈದ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ನೀಡಿರುವ

ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು

ಅಂತಹ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಂತೆಯ

ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರುಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಈ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ವಯ ಸಂತೆ

ಸಂತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರಾಟ

34